

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
RENO, NEVADA

MICHAEL-STEVE COX,) 3:08-CV-00663-ECR-RAM
)
Plaintiff,) MINUTES OF THE COURT
)
vs.) DATE: July 28, 2010
)
J. PALMER, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)
_____)

PRESENT: _____ EDWARD C. REED, JR. _____ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE
Deputy Clerk: _____ COLLEEN LARSEN _____ Reporter: _____ NONE APPEARING
Counsel for Plaintiff(s) _____ NONE APPEARING
Counsel for Defendant(s) _____ NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS

On October 27, 2009, Plaintiff filed a motion (#53) for reconsideration of the Magistrate Judge's Order (#47) denying Plaintiff's motion (#19) to compel.

"A district judge may reconsider any pretrial matter referred to a magistrate judge in a civil or criminal case pursuant to LR IB 1-3 where it has been shown that the magistrate judge's ruling is clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Local Rule IB 3-1; see 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). The "contrary to law" standard only applies to the Magistrate Judge's legal conclusions, which are reviewed de novo.

The Magistrate Judge's decision (#47) was neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

IT IS, THEREFORE, HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion (#53) is **DENIED.**

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By _____ /s/
Deputy Clerk